

**FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY**

Approved For Release 2004/09/09 : CIA-RDP96-00788R001100190011-9

## AGENDA

## INTRODUCTION :

INFORMAL BRIEFING COVERING INSCOMS INVOLVEMENT IN PROJECT GRILL FLAME

- ROLES DIA / INSCOM
  - HISTORY (OF INSCOM INVOLVEMENT)
  - EVALUATED PROJECTS  
  & (HOW SESSION IS CONDUCTED)
  - COMPLETED · NOT YET EVALUATED PROJECTS

~~SECRET~~

Approved For Release 2004/09/09 : CIA-RDP96-00788R001100190011-9

ROLES                    INSCOM & DIA

APPEARS TO HAVE BEEN SOME BLURRING OF THE DIFFERENT ROLES OF INSCOM AND DIA.

• DIA

- ASSESS THE THREAT
- DETERMINE COUNTERMEASURES

• INSCOM (ARMY)

APPLY REMOTE VIEWING TO ASSIST IN EVALUATING THE POTENTIAL<sup>OF RV</sup> AS A COLLECTION METHOD

- COLLECT INTELLIGENCE USING PROFESSIONAL INTELLIGENCE PEOPLE
- CONTRACT ONLY FOR THOSE ITEMS WHICH ENABLE US TO DO A BETTER JOB OF COLLECTING

(FOR EXAMPLE)

COORD  
BASIC  
BLIND

• TARGETING (FY 81)

WHAT TYPES OF TARGETS HAVE THE HIGHEST PROBABILITY OF SUCCESS  
HOW MUCH INFORMATION SHOULD BE GIVEN TO A VIEWER

• AUDIO ANALYSIS (FY 81)

CAN THE ACCURACY OF REMOTE VIEWERS BE DETERMINED FROM AN ANALYSIS OF THE SESSION TAPE

• TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER (FY 82)

• NOT DOING RESEARCH ON THE PHENOMENA

• BASIC DIFFERENCES

DIA - USES PRIMARILY CONTRACTORS

INSCOM -- COLLECT INTELLIGENCE

— USE PROFESSIONAL INTELLIGENCE PERSONNEL

~~SECRET~~

Incl 2

Approved For Release 2004/09/09 : CIA-RDP96-00788R001100190011-9

~~SECRET~~

Approved For Release 2004/09/09 : CIA-RDP96-00788R001100190011-9

## HISTORY

IN THE FALL OF 1978 ... ACSI TASKED INSCOM TO DETERMINE IF PARAPSYCHOLOGY COULD BE USED TO COLLECT INTELLIGENCE

PARAPSYCHOLOGY - <sup>IS</sup> GENERALLY DIVIDED INTO 2 MAJOR DIVISIONS

1. PHYSICAL ACTIONS PERFORMED BY MENTAL POWERS THAT CANNOT BE EXPLAINED BY KNOWN PHYSICAL MEANS (PSYCHOKINESIS, TELEKINESIS)

2. PERCEPTIONS WHICH CANNOT BE EXPLAINED THROUGH KNOWN SENSORY MEANS (ESP, TELEPATHY, REMOTE VIEWING)

SPECIFICALLY INSCOM WAS TO DETERMINE IF REMOTE VIEWING COULD BE USED AS A COLLECTION METHOD.

REMOTE VIEWING BEING THE ABILITY TO ACCESS INFORMATION PREVIOUSLY UNKNOWN ... WITHOUT REGARD TO TIME ... ITS LOCATION IN THE WORLD ... OR THE ATTEMPTS TO HIDE IT FROM COLLECTION

Inc 3

~~SECRET~~

Approved For Release 2004/09/09 : CIA-RDP96-00788R001100190011-9

~~SECRET~~

Approved For Release 2004/09/09 : CIA-RDP96-00788R001100190011-9

## INSCOM APPROACH

TO MEET ACSI TASKING... INSCOM DECIDED ON A  
3 STEP APPROACH ...

1. COULD REMOTE VIEWING BE LEARNED - SRI
  - HAD RELIED FOR KNOW PSYCHICS FOR THEIR WORK
  - USING PERSONALITY TRAITS & CHARACTERISTICS RECOMMENDED BY SRI (AND USED BY CIA IN EARLY RESEARCH) - INSCOM PERSONNEL IN BALTIMORE/WASHINGTON AREA WERE SCREENED  
SELF CONFIDENT, ADVENTUROUS, ARTISTIC, OPEN MINDED (WILLING TO TRY SOMETHING NEW), EMOTIONALLY STABLE, HAVE COURAGE OF CONVICTIONS, THINKS WELL OF SELF ALSO COMMANDER/SUPERVISOR COMMENTS AND AVAILABILITY

STARTING WITH 251 THRU A SERIES OF SCREENINGS & INTERVIEWS 9 WERE SELECTED... OF THESE 6 WENT TO SRI FOR TRAINING

THEN

- A TRAINING PLAN WAS THEN ESTABLISHED (TRAINING A PHYSIC HAD NEVER BEEN TRIED)... BEST ESTIMATES WERE AN 18 MONTH TO 2 YEAR TRAINING CYCLE

MILESTONES: DURING THE FIRST STEP  
FALL 1978 - FEB 1979 LOCATE PEOPLE

FEB 1979 - DEC 1979 INITIAL TRAINING

1ST TNG SESSION: 28 FEB 78

Approved For Release 2004/09/09 : CIA-RDP96-00788R001100190011-9

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

Approved For Release 2004/09/08 : CIA-RDP96-00788R001100190011-9

[THE 2D AND 3D STEPS WERE]

2. CAN REMOTE VIEWING BE APPLIED AS A  
COLLECTION METHOD ... MEETING CRITERIA ...

- REASONABLE ACCURACY
- INFORMATION ACCEPTED BY ANALYSTS

IN SEP 79, ACSI TASKED THE FIRST  
OPERATIONAL PROJECT ... BASED UPON THE  
RESULTS ... ADDITIONAL OPERATIONAL TASKING  
FOLLOWED ... AND THE PROJECT WAS  
COMMITTED TO OPERATIONS

3. CAN REMOTE VIEWING RESPOND TO COLLECTION  
REQUIREMENTS

MILESTONES :

DEC 79 - SPRING/SUMMER 1981            OPERATIONAL  
1ST OPERATIONAL SESSION : 4 SEP 79  
1979 - 84  
1980 - 384  
1981 - 139 (JUNE)

SG1A

JAN 81 - ACSI TURNED OVER OPERATIONAL CONTROL

~~SECRET~~

Approved For Release 2004/09/08 : CIA-RDP96-00788R001100190011-9

~~SECRET~~INSTITUTIONALIZE THE PROGRAM

## OBJECTIVES (SUMMER 1981 - 1983)

1. ESTABLISH A PERMANENT ORGANIZATION

- DEVELOP A METHOD FOR RECURRING RECRUITMENT  
(REVALIDATE INITIAL SCREEN)  
(BROADEN SCOPE - PLACES TO RECRUIT)

2. DETERMINE MOST PROFITABLE TYPE TARGET

- TARGET ANALYSIS (CONTRACT)
- REVIEW OUR WORK

3. DETERMINE HOW TO GET HIGHER RELIABILITY

- AUDIO ANALYSIS
- BIO-MONITORING
- BETTER INDIVIDUAL TRAINING
- TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER (NEW SRI TECHNIQUE) (TRAINING)
- OTHER CONTRACTORS

~~SECRET~~

**SECRET**

Approved For Release 2004/09/09 : CIA-RDP96-00788R001100190011-9

## SUMMARY OF THE RV ENHANCEMENT TECHNIQUE

### -TRAINING-

THE RV ENHANCEMENT TECHNIQUES MAY BE SUMMARIZED AS FOLLOWS:

- (1) THE CODIFIED MULTISTAGE APPROACH TO DATA ACQUISITION INHERENT IN THE RV ENHANCEMENT PROCEDURE APPEARS TO "SLOW DOWN" THE INCOMING DATA SUCCESSFULLY, THEREBY PROVIDING SOME SAFEGUARD AGAINST THE NATURAL TENDENCIES OF THE REMOTE VIEWER TO INTERPRET AND ANALYZE PREMATURELY.
- (2) THE DATA BEING GENERATED WITHIN THE STRUCTURE BEING INVESTIGATED X APPEAR TO RESULT IN BRIEFER TRANSCRIPTS X WITH HIGHER SIGNAL-TO-NOISE RATIOS COMPARED TO PREVIOUS RESULTS. THE GAIN APPEARS TO BE BOTH IN THE QUALITY OF INDIVIDUAL TRIALS AND IN THE RELIABILITY FROM TRIAL TO TRIAL.
- (3) KNOWLEDGE OF THE HYPOTHEZIZED MULTISTAGE PROCESS OF SITE ACQUISITION APPEARS TO PROVIDE SOME PREDICTIVE VALUE ABOUT THE QUALITY OF THE RV PRODUCT. THE DATA THAT DO NOT EMERGE MORE OR LESS IN THE STAGED ORDER TEND TO HAVE A HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF OVERLAY.

**SECRET**

Approved For Release 2004/09/09 : CIA-RDP96-00788R001100190011-9


**SECRET**

COMPLETED, UNEVALUATED PROJECTS

1. SEARCH FOR BG DOZIER:

- We reported the city name Padua eight days prior to his rescue.
- Two days prior to the rescue, and without the name of the city, an analyst was able to use our information combined with other sources to brief CDR, SETAF to concentrate efforts in Padua.
- Reverse role of locating, not reporting on known locations.

SG1A



**SECRET**

NOT RELEASEABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS

~~SECRET~~

Approved For Release 2004/09/09 : CIA-RDP96-00788R001100190011-9

## COMPLETED PROJECTS

### INTRODUCTION:

- This form of collection can not stand alone.
- This collection of projects puts our "best foot" forward.
- Current value to analyst is about 40%.
- Number of sessions per project varies as low as 1 to over 200 in the case of Iran.
- Projects are based upon intelligence value only.
- Projects are judged by tasker or in some cases independent of tasker, e.g., ITAC for ACSI.

### CHARACTERISTICS OF GRILL FLAME COLLECTION:

- Passive.
- No known defense.
- Inexpensive (compared to)  
Exotic SIGINT systems  
PHOTINT  
(Amount of) Manpower committed.

~~SECRET~~

NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS

Approved For Release 2004/09/09 : CIA-RDP96-00788R001100190011-9

*Summary Questions*

1. What kind of statistical basis do you have that will prove your worth? Where does the 40% come from?  
I don't know the answer.

*R.*

*Rob.*

*Incl 7*

~~SECRET~~  
~~SECRET~~

## MS SMILEY QUESTIONS

1. WHAT IS GF (ARMY) DOING TO PROVE R.V. WORKS?  
WHAT R&D IS ARMY DOING?
2. HOW CAN YOU BE SURE THE INTERVIEWER ISN'T LEADING THE INTERVIEW  
BY HIS LINE OF QUESTIONING.  
(WE NEED TO BE SURE TO SAY THAT USUALLY (IDEALY) THE INTERVIEWER  
IS WORKING IN THE BLIND ALSO.)
3. WHY DOES ACSI HAVE SUCH A HIGH SUCCESS RATE?
4. WHY HAVEN'T WE RUN SESSIONS AGAINST SD-N-SD?  
(WE DON'T TASK OURSELVES, WE ACCEPT TASKING)
5. WHY DONT WE DO MORE COORDINATION WITH OTHER AGENCIES, IE.  

(WE ONLY ANSWER THE TASKING WE  
 RECEIVE, WE DON'T TAKE THE PROJECT OFF THE ANALYST'S HANDS AND  
 DO HIS WORK.)

SG1A

SG1A

QUESTIONS BY MS SMILEY - ADD YOURS

- WHAT IS STATUS OF FY 82 REPROGRAMMING (LTL  
JORDAN ANSWERED) - DIA TAKING ACTION)
- How DOES OUR ~~XXXXXX~~ PROTOCOL COMPARE WITH SRI ? (SOME)
- NO KNOWN DEFENSE AGAINST COLLECTION ?  
  
(SRI EVALUATING -  
RECEIVE DATA INSUFFICIENT TO REPLICATE, PROTOCOLS  
NOT FULLY KNOWN)

SG1A

SG1A

- An intelligence value of 40% seems to be lower at least lower than normal. Why?
  - 40% is the percentage of total information provided by Remote Viewing, and represents that information considered by the reviewing analyst to be of at least "good intelligence value" or higher.
  - In comparison to total Information collected in any other system (e.g., SIGINT, PHOTINT, ELINT) 40% is an extremely high return of valuable information from the total collected.
- Why are you able to satisfy one project with a single session, but need multiple sessions on others?
  - First; It's dependent on the tasking. One task may require a one shot answer, i.e., describe the inside of a building at coordinates ....; but another task may require multiple answers, i.e., address the probability of a Soviet Invasion of Poland between 01 May 1982 and 01 May 1983.
  - Secondly; The analyst in the course of receiving information on the first task may decide he could use amplification data or additional facts collected, i.e., viewer answers question of "How many tanks?" with statement, "5 tanks are parked beside radar installation. Analyst will then want the Radar installation described.
  - Third; Personnel are directed to address target in multiple stages to prevent inadvertent disclosure of the actual information desired.

July

- Why can't Grill Flame information stand alone - others do when that's all you've got. Is it because its not as good?

- Grill Flame Information is of extreme value, even when it's alone; but decisions should not be made on estimates relied on) strictly from G.F. Information. No other information system produces information which can't be trusted any more than G.F. when that information stands alone.

- Grill Flame information, as any other information, when it is the only product available, does provide very valuable aid in trying to get additional information through other collection systems.

- The quality and/or extent of evaluation concerning Grill Flame information appears to be rather weak and does not provide the amount of detail or lend itself to statistical support of such collection methods. Why can't this be improved?

- In the past the Army project did not pursue more exacting evaluations for the following reasons:

1) The goals were different; the project was establishing "ability to collect" vs. "accuracy"

2) We did not wish to appear as judging our own required levels for support and thereby pursue no facing statements of accuracy from the outside analyst.

3) We wished to also evaluate the outside analysts ability to utilize and evaluate our information.

4) Manpower restraints.

- If the Army Gulf Flame (collection) program is as valuable as I am hearing:

- Why have I not heard about it before now?
- Why aren't the flag officers (generals) in attendance at Senate (House) committee meetings defending it? Knowable of it?
- Why aren't there more people committed to it; or why is there a question concerning Army support to the required manpower quota?

(NOTE: I DON'T KNOW ANSWERS TO THESE ↑ )

- If DIA tasks SRI for RV Collection Support in conjunction with a National Product requirement, why is the Army also collecting through RV? I don't understand the differences, ain't they both collecting?
- INFORMATION ITEMS WHICH MUST BE COVERED ARE AS FOLLOWS:

1.) The Army effort is not experimental, nor is it R&D based. The Army is using RV to collect information of Intelligence Value to meet assigned tasking.

2.) Actual methods of targeting & Collection must be explained in detail, otherwise misconceptions arise over how the information is obtained.

3.) Victim, interview, and analyst (both inside & out) relationships must be explained in detail.

4.) Differences in evaluation of information for Intelligence value "versus" RV accuracy must be explained, and one reason for such.